John and all,

Please take a close look at the stipulations. The language in this document signed by the petitioners does not reflect a language that the Kane County Board deems appropriate. The Kane County board says that “mandatory” words are required. For example “A 6” high wooden fence “will” also be constructed along the north line of the petitioners’ property to screen off the kennel area from neighbors” - the word “shall” should be used. “The Powerloo Pet Waste Management System “will” be installed…” - “shall” should be used. I don’t have confidence in the petitioners intentions.

**Under Article III. Rules and Definitions for Kane County Zoning Ordinances:**

**B. The word “shall” is mandatory and not directory.**

**C. The word “may” is permissive.**

Further, I feel that the petitioners should amend their stipulations to consult with an Acoustic Engineer to validate that their facility (indoor and outdoors) has been designed to eliminate the noise of the maximum number of clients (up to 120 dogs were mentioned in their testimony) in the future. The Kane County Board and adjacent neighbors shall have the confidence to know that the enjoyment and use of their property and property values will not be degraded in any way! My worry is that the petitioners will (and it’s their plan to..)service as many as 120 dogs in the future. They should also amend their Sunday hours to; “shall be by appointment only.”

Like I mentioned before. I’m against a kennel being located less than 200 feet from my back yard. For some neighbors it’s closer. Locating a kennel so close to residential is not a good idea as is evidenced by the STC ordinance that stipulates that a kennel with an outdoor facility shall not be located within 1000 feet of a residential neighborhood.

Thank you,

Mary Johnson
Mecloud11@icloud.com
630-212-0945